April 7, 1969

Mr. George Revercomb
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Re: United States v. Miller

Dear Mr. Revercomb:

I wish to thank you for the time and attention you gave me on April 3rd, and for your agreement to look into the Miller case personally. I was particularly heartened to hear that you have had some personal experiences with eyewitness identifications.

I do not expect you or anyone else to believe any of my assertions merely because I make them. On the other hand, I hope that they will not be disregarded as the hysterical rantings of an overzealous advocate. I have spent three years on this case, virtually full time, travelling at least fifty thousand miles in the course of my personal investigations, and having talked with every important witness. No one in the Department has spent a twentieth of this time on the case. I would hope, therefore, that in deciding whether the case deserves the review I ask, unsupported repetitions of third and fourth hand hearsay from members of the Department are not given substantially more weight than my claims, based upon first hand knowledge.

I have no doubt that if a responsible, uncommitted member of your staff were to spend two weeks on the case, listening to tapes, studying transcripts, and talking to witnesses, he would agree with most of the allegations in my letter of April 2nd. He would, in any event, certainly agree that the Miller case should be dismissed and new investigators assigned to prepare cases against the smugglers who committed the crime.

In the event that the materials which I submitted, together with information you obtain from the Narcotics Section, do not persuade you that a review of the kind I suggest is warranted, I urge you to grant me another interview and an opportunity to respond to the considerations that led you to reject my request. I would specifically like to reply to any suggestions that James Miller ever engaged in narcotics or other major

Mr. George Revercomb April 7, 1969

criminal activities prior to the Bridgeport matter, which, of course, I categorically deny.

I repeat my offer to cooperate fully and candidly with an objective official assigned to investigate and review the case.

Again, I am grateful for the time and the intelligence you have already devoted to this matter.

Sincerely,

Steven Duke

SD/jnf